Rubric for Approving Proposed Faculty-led Study Abroad Programs

This approval rubric will be used by the Education Abroad Committee for all submitted faculty-led proposals beginning with the fall 2022 proposal submission cycle.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Which of the following criteria are addressed by this SA trip?</th>
<th>Poor (Novice Understanding)</th>
<th>Average (Tourist Level Depth of Understanding)</th>
<th>Good (Traveler Level Understanding)</th>
<th>Excellent (Near Native Level Understanding)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CRITERIA (Select the appropriate rating)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Understands the significance and importance of academic exposure to a different culture and society</td>
<td>Minimal or no enumeration of ways to measure the significance of being exposed to a different culture and society. Discusses but lacks sufficient explanation.</td>
<td>Somewhat or loosely enumerates ways to measure the significance of being exposed to a different culture and society.</td>
<td>Clearly enumerates ways to measure the significance of being exposed to a different culture and society. Explicitly connects the measurements to the benefits of exposure with full clarity.</td>
<td>Synthesizes connections into the way of living and future carrier and personality development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achieves a deeper understanding of the politics, economy, history, language and culture of the host country, linking the history and experiences of Black people in this region and across the Diaspora</td>
<td>Minimal or no demonstration of ways to understand the PEHLC of the host country (e.g., no reference of history, political, or socio-economic structure of the host country). Discussion was articulate; however, it lacks sufficient depth and additional explanation is needed.</td>
<td>Somewhat or loosely demonstrates ways to understand the PEHLC of the host country.</td>
<td>Clearly and effectively demonstrates ways to understand the PEHLC of the host country and explains linking of the history and experiences of Black people in this region. The understanding and linking method are fully explained.</td>
<td>In-depth demonstration of ways to understand the PEHLC of the host country and linking of the history and experiences of Black people in this region. Students are provided resources to know about the PHECL of the country. Interacting with the Black people in the region provides insight into their life.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhances awareness and sensitivity in explaining the cultural and social similarities and differences between the U.S. and other countries</td>
<td>Minimal or no analysis of enhancing awareness towards cultural differences.</td>
<td>Somewhat or loosely analyzes awareness towards cultural and social differences between the US and other countries</td>
<td>Specifically addresses the sensitivity issue and lays down methods to enhance the awareness in cultural and social differences between the two countries.</td>
<td>In-depth analysis of the steps followed during the trip and use them to apply in enhancing awareness of cultural differences within the U.S. as well.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop life-long learning skills (such as time-management, service-learning, critical thinking, sociocultural awareness and adaptability etc.)</td>
<td>Minimal or no discussion of how this program will help develop life-long learning skills.</td>
<td>Somewhat or loosely discusses about the program's contribution towards developing life-long learning skills.</td>
<td>Specifically addresses the contribution of the program towards developing life-long learning skills such as time-management, service-learning, critical thinking, sociocultural awareness and adaptability, language, and social skills.</td>
<td>In-depth analysis of how this program can help develop life-long learning skills and apply them in future carrier and personal development. Explicitly provides resources sharing experiences of others on how they developed life-long learning skills by participating in similar programs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The discussion in the proposal lacks clarity.</td>
<td>The discussion in the proposal lacks clarity.</td>
<td>The proposal needs additional explanation.</td>
<td>The proposal needs additional explanation.</td>
<td>The proposal needs additional explanation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contributions of acquired skills and knowledge towards future academic/career development</th>
<th>Minimal or no discussion of how the acquired skills through this program will contribute towards future academic/career development.</th>
<th>Somewhat or loosely discusses how the acquired skills through this program will contribute towards future academic/career development.</th>
<th>Specifically addresses the contribution of the acquired skills through this program towards future academic/career development. The proposal exemplifies the skill applications in academic/career development. and</th>
<th>In-depth analysis of the contributions of the acquired skills in shaping future academic/career development by sharing experiences of others on how their acquired skills and knowledge contributed towards academic/career development.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The discussion in the proposal lacks clarity.</td>
<td>The discussion in the proposal lacks clarity.</td>
<td>The proposal needs additional explanation.</td>
<td>The proposal needs additional explanation.</td>
<td>The proposal needs additional explanation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Considerations for student well-being and on-site support</th>
<th>Students would be at an increased health/safety risk at this location. Conflict with Morehouse academic calendar. No demonstrated support or commitment from local partners.</th>
<th>Students could be at an increased health/safety risk at this location. No conflict with Morehouse academic calendar. Some support or commitment from local partners, but proposal needs additional explanation.</th>
<th>Limited or no health and safety risks for students at this location. No conflict with Morehouse academic calendar. Demonstrated commitment from local partners. Clear itinerary with detailed budget. Proposed program length and costs are reasonable.</th>
<th>No health and safety risks for students at this location. No conflict with Morehouse academic calendar. Demonstrated commitment from local partners. Clear itinerary with detailed budget. Proposed program length and costs are reasonable.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Students would be at an increased health/safety risk at this location.</td>
<td>Students would be at an increased health/safety risk at this location.</td>
<td>Students could be at an increased health/safety risk at this location. No conflict with Morehouse academic calendar. Some support or commitment from local partners, but proposal needs additional explanation.</td>
<td>Limited or no health and safety risks for students at this location. No conflict with Morehouse academic calendar.</td>
<td>Limited or no health and safety risks for students at this location. No conflict with Morehouse academic calendar.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For any Study Abroad program to be approved, the minimum of 4 out of 6 criteria should be ‘Good’ or above. In other words, the minimum score for approval, using this rubric should be $14 \{(3x4) +1+1\}$ points out of the maximum of 24 points. The maximum score is when a proposal earns 4 in all criteria giving a total of $6x4=24$ points.